

Is Design for All Realistic?

**Building National Public
Inclusive Infrastructures**

**on our way to a
Global Public Inclusive Infrastructure**

Gregg C Vanderheiden Ph.D.
Florence 2009

The Problem

- There are between 500 million and a billion people throughout the world with disabilities
- and a greater number who cannot read due to literacy problems.
- In addition, many more people are living longer - and with the baby boom we soon will have an increasing portion of our population that is elderly.
- Many of these people cannot access information and communication technologies, as their peers can, because they require a special interface of some type and cannot afford it

The Problem cont'd

- Lack of access to ICT is no longer an option
- Too integral in our education and employment environments – not to mention daily living.
- Even governments now use ICT for information, training, and services for their citizens.

Can we design for all?

- Not possible to create one interface that is usable by all
 - No truly universal designs. Usable by all.
 - Some things make it easier for one but harder for another.
- Key is flexibility – the ability to either:
 1. Have the interface be flexible enough, or
 2. Allow an alternate interface to be used
- But there are limits on flexibility companies can build into their mainstream products
 - and companies do not want alternate interfaces

Current Adaptive Interface model won't scale

■ Currently

- Serving about 15-20% of those who need access technologies with effective access for today's mainstream technologies.
- Not serving low incidence well.
- Not serving cognitive, language, and learning disabilities well.
- Not serving aging well.
- Government can't fund everyone to get good AT
 - and it isn't there to fund.

Proposition

- It is time to stop working around the edges and an on separate pieces ask our countries (public and private sectors) to invest on a major sustained level in building a **free public inclusive information and telecommunication infrastructure**
- Build access directly into the Internet and ICT infrastructure – as national commitment(s)
- To allow ALL to access these critical (ICT) resources without regard to personal resources or connections
- Need UD/D4A for ICT that doesn't depend on regulating industry to support alone

Tried other approaches

- We have tried asking users to pay for it
 - but the cost for effective adaptive technologies is now too high for many or most. For many it is equal to their living expenses for a month or even a year.
- We have tried to pay for individual adaptive technologies through the government
 - but we only end up paying for some – leaving many or most without –
 - and it only addresses some of the interfaces they encounter.
- We tried legislating companies to pay for it –
 - but we don't enforce it (so it is not a level playing field for those that try)
 - and companies can only address some of the interface adaptations needed in their mainstream products. They can only address some disabilities practically.

Redefine Accessibility

- New AT / UD balance
 - UD where possible
 - AT where not - or for higher performance
- Will affect AT industry
 - Like introducing Public Schools would in a country with only private schools
 - But it MAY not reduce AT sales net
 - It can be done in a way to support and expand AT Industry – though it will be different. (Machiavelli)
- Only way to reach the other 80% (or more)

Redefine Accessibility cont'd

- Need soon for ubiquity
- Only way to address elder access?
- Only way to really get access for all disabilities into developing countries?
- Smaller Investment than others that have been made – with large potential payoff

What is proposed

- To ensure that people experiencing **disabilities**, **literacy** problems, or the **effects of aging** have **at least basic access** and can use all of the information, services and communities available on or through the Internet via computers and mobile technologies.
- By building the ability to vary the interface to match user abilities into the information and communication infrastructure,
 - so that people can access information and communication using an interface that matches their abilities, - **without having to buy or pay extra** because the default interface is not usable by them.

Start with the Internet

- It is easier to start in this service rich environment
- The Internet is a model for where all information and communication technology is headed.
- It is also possible to emulate individual devices within the Internet environment making it possible to provide parallel functionality in an accessible manner

National Public Inclusive Infrastructures

- Free Public access features allowing basic access
- Not as 'add-ons' but as access features that can be invoked anytime, anywhere, on any device.
- Same infrastructure supports commercial AT that goes beyond basic – also on anytime, anywhere basis
- Chained National Public Inclusive Infrastructures create a Global Public Inclusive Infrastructure.

What would it look like?

- People with disabilities – or literacy problem – that can't read text on screen
- Senior who can't see or hear well
- A Worker needing special high efficiency access to software at work
- Child from a poorer neighborhood
- Person with cognitive disability (USB)
- Country with little AT available in their language
- Person who can't afford to stay online

At a time in future when computers are in everything

NPII and GPII - in Stages

1. Collections of individual solutions (packages)
 - Sustainable?
 - Supportable?
2. Create common core components and infrastructure on which all the access features and services are built
 - Allows mix and match
 - Allows easier creation of new or better features
 - Allows easier localization of full set of access features in different countries
3. Evolve ICT to separate function and interface

What would be involved?

- Development
- Distribution
- Security
- Maintenance
- User Support
- Awareness

What would it cost?

- Cost up front to establish?
- How long to establish?
- What cost to maintain and evolve?
- Cost would be much less than the total of our current individual funding – but what?

The world is building out its infrastructure

- This is the time to take the initiative
- If we don't do it now will we ever? Will it be possible?
- Can we continue long term going from project to project with no sustained funding?
 - Cost in terms of lost personnel?
 - Cost in duplicated or restarted efforts?
 - Ability to keep or attract talent with an uncertain future?

- **National Public Inclusive Infrastructures**
 - tied together to form a **Global Public Inclusive Infrastructure**

is the only way to serve any significant portion of those who need access with the funding our societies will/can devote to this over time.

Thank You

This work is supported with funding from the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR), U.S. Department of Education, under grants # H133E030012.